

Linking the EU's Emissions Trading System to Any Future US Emissions Trading Scheme

Publikation

[Fallstudie](#)

Zitiervorschlag

Mehling, Michael, Harro van Asselt and Jason Anderson 2009: „Linking the EUâ„s Emissions Trading System to any Future US Emissions Trading Scheme“. Study requested by the European Parliament Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety.

Aufkommende Emissionshandelssysteme in den Vereinigten Staaten bieten die MÃ¶glichkeit einer zukÃ¼nftigen MarktverknÃ¼pfung mit dem europÃ¤ischen Emissionshandelssystem. Wenngleich eine derartige VerknÃ¼pfung die Aussicht auf einen grÃ¶Ãeren Markt mit hÃ¶herer LiquiditÃ¤t und damit insgesamt eine effizientere Ressourcenallokation bietet, kÃ¶nnen Unterschiede in der Ausgestaltung der verknÃ¼pften Handelssysteme die Wirksamkeit des Marktes als umweltpolitisches Instrument gefÃ¤hrden.

Die [Studie](#) [pdf, 1 MB, Englisch] steht zum Download zur VerfÃ¼gung.

Sprache

Englisch

Autorenschaft

[Prof. Dr. Michael Mehling, LLM](#)

Katharina Umpfenbach

Jason Anderson (IEEP)

Harro van Asselt (IVM)

Finanzierung

EuropÃ¤isches Parlament, [Ausschuss fÃ¼r Umweltfragen, Ã¶ffentliche Gesundheit und Lebensmittelsicherheit](#), International

Verlag

EuropÃ¤isches Parlament, [Ausschuss fÃ¼r Umweltfragen, Ã¶ffentliche Gesundheit und Lebensmittelsicherheit](#), International

Jahr

2009

Umfang

70 S.

Inhaltsverzeichnis

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. INTRODUCTION

2. OVERVIEW OF CURRENT AND EMERGING GHG EMISSION TRADING SCHEMES IN THE US

2.1 Federal Initiatives

2.2 Regional Initiatives

2.2.1 Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI)

2.2.2 Western Climate Initiative (WCI)

2.2.3 Midwestern Greenhouse Gas Accord (MGGA)

2.2.4 Mayorsâ€œ Climate Protection Agreement

2.3 State Initiatives: The California Global Warming Solutions Act (AB32) and California Climate Exchange (CaCX)

2.4 Voluntary Initiatives: The Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX)

3 CASE STUDY: THE CLIMATE SECURITY ACT OF 2008

3.1 Allocation Method

3.2 Sectoral Arrangements

3.3 Cost Containment

3.4 Offsets

3.5 Technology and Research & Development

3.6 Oversight and Enforcement

3.7 Border Adjustment Measures

3.7.1 Country Coverage

3.7.2 Coverage of Goods

3.7.3 Calculation of the Adjustment

3.7.4 International Negotiations

3.7.5 Timing of Measures and Revisions

3.7.6 Comparison between the US and the EU

3.7.7 Implications for Linking Trading Schemes

3.8 International Cooperation and Partnerships

4 COMPATIBILITY OF THE EU ETS AND PROPOSED US ETS

4.1 Overview of Relevant Design Features

4.2 Prospects of Linking: An Assessment

5 PROPOSED EMISSIONS TRADING SCHEME IN THE US AND WORLD TRADE LAW

5.1 Introduction: Competitiveness Concerns in the US

5.1.1 Byrd-Hagel and the US Withdrawal from Kyoto

5.1.2 The Rationale for Border Adjustment Measures in the US

5.1.3 The IBEW/AEP proposal

5.1.4 Border Adjustment Measures and World Trade Law

5.1.5 Market Access

5.2 National Treatment

5.3 Most-favoured Nation Treatment

5.4 Environmental Exceptions

5.4.1 Art. XX(b) and XX(g)

5.4.2 Art. XX Chapeau

5.4.3 Overview

6 CONCLUSIONS

ABBREVIATIONS

REFERENCES

ANNEX I: LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS INTRODUCED IN THE 110TH CONGRESS â€“ EMISSION PATHWAYS

SchlÃ¼sselwÃ¶rter

Klima

Energie

climate, energy, legal, carbon

Source URL: <https://www.ecologic.eu/11754>