

Methodologies for Climate Proofing Investments and Measures under Cohesion and Regional Policy and the Common Agricultural Policy

Publikation

[Bericht](#)

Zitiervorschlag

Hjerp, P., Volkery, A., Lückge, H., Medhurst, J., Hart, K., Medarova-Bergstrom, K., Tröltzsch, J., McGuinn, J., Skinner, I., Desbarats, J., Slater, C., Bartel, A., Frelih-Larsen, A., and ten Brink, P., (2012), Methodologies for Climate Proofing Investments and Measures under Cohesion and Regional Policy and the Common Agricultural Policy, A report for DG Climate, August 2012.

Diese Studie analysiert detailliert potentielle Auswirkungen des Klimawandels, Risiken, Schadenskosten und die Anpassungsfähigkeit von EU-Mitgliedsstaaten bezogen auf die Kohäsionspolitik und Gemeinsame Agrarpolitik (GAP). Es werden Möglichkeiten und Strategien aufgezeigt wie Kohäsionspolitik und GAP an die Klimaveränderungen angepasst werden können. Die Studie enthält Optionen und Anleitungen, wie öffentliche Verwaltungen in Mitgliedsstaaten Klimawandel in verschiedene Politikfelder integrieren und Ausgaben und Maßnahmen unter Kohäsionspolitik und GAP auf ihre Klimaverträglichkeit prüfen ("climate proofed") können. Die Ergebnisse der Studie haben eine direkte Relevanz für die Programmentwicklung unter Kohäsionspolitik und GAP im nächsten mehrjährigen Finanzrahmen von 2014 bis 2020. Die Studie steht als Download zur Verfügung.

Die Kohäsionspolitik und die GAP umfassen den weitaus größten Teil der Ausgaben der Europäischen Union (EU) und haben einen bedeutenden Einfluss auf die Entwicklung von Städten und ländlichem Raum, Infrastrukturen sowie Ökosystemen und deren Dienstleistungen innerhalb der EU. Diese beiden Politikfelder können Klimawandelanpassung wesentlich unterstützen, indem sie sicherstellen, dass Investitionen und Ausgaben unter verschiedenen Klimawandelszenarien effektiv sind, u. a. dass sie auf Klimaverträglichkeit geprüft sind.

Die Studie hat verschiedene Informationsquellen genutzt, z. B. Interviews mit politischen Handlungsträgern, öffentlichen Stakeholdern auf EU- und nationaler Ebene und drei Workshops, die in verschiedenen Teilen der EU stattfanden.

Das Ecologic Institut war verantwortlich für die ökonomische Analyse von Anpassungsmaßnahmen. Weiterhin hat es wesentlich an der Erarbeitung von Integrationsmöglichkeiten von Klimawandel in die GAP mitgewirkt sowie zur Erstellung der "technischen Leitlinien" für die GAP beigetragen.

Der Bericht (auf Englisch) steht zum Download bereit.

Obige Infografik wurde entnommen aus: Medarova-Bergstrom, K. and Volkery, A. (2012): Practical Options for Climate Change Mainstreaming in the 2014-2020 EU Budget report, Brussels/London

Sprache

Englisch

Autorenschaft

[Jenny TrÄ¶ltzsch](#)
[Dr. Ana Frelih-Larsen](#)
[Peter Hjerp](#)
[Axel Volkery](#)
[Helen LÄ¼ckge](#)
[James Medhurst](#)
[Kaley Hart](#)
[Keti Medarova-Bergstrom](#)
[Jennifer McGuinn](#)
[Ian Skinner](#)
[Jane Desbarats](#)
Charlotte Slater
A. Bartel
[Patrick ten Brink](#)

Finanzierung

EuropÄ½ische Kommission, [Generaldirektion Klimapolitik](#) (GD Klimapolitik), International

Jahr

2012

Umfang

273 S.

Projekt

[Climate Proofing der KohÄ½sionspolitik und der Gemeinsamen Agrarpolitik \(GAP\)](#)

Projekt-ID

[2369](#)

Inhaltsverzeichnis

Key findings and recommendations

Executive Summary

GLOSSARY

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and policy context

1.2 Main intervention logic of the work carried out under the project

1.3 Key conceptual approaches

1.3.1 Defining Vulnerability

1.3.2 Defining climate proofing and mainstreaming

1.4 Structure of this report

2 Climate Change Threats, Impacts and Damage Costs

2.1 Introduction

2.2 Important methodological issues

2.2.1 Defining regions

2.2.2 The relative importance of direct and indirect expenditure

2.3 Overview of climate change threats and impacts and associated damage costs

2.3.1 Most significant threats from climate change

2.3.2 Regional variation of most significant threats from climate change

2.3.3 Damage costs of most significant climate change threats on regional development

2.3.4 The indicative impacts of threats on receptors

2.3.5 Affected Cohesion Policy and CAP expenditures

2.3.6 Summary of the main impacts and conclusions

2.4 Assessing the adaptive capacities of Member States

2.4.1 Approach to assessing adaptive capacity

2.4.2 Results on adaptive capacity

2.5 Baseline: Development and assessment

2.5.1 Methodological approach

2.5.2 Summary of baselines

3 Options and instruments for climate mainstreaming and proofing of CAP and Cohesion Policy

3.1 Introduction

3.2 Appraisal of adaptation options

3.2.1 Typology of adaptation options

3.2.2 Overview of relevant options

3.2.3 Adaptation options: Cost and benefits (including analysis of impacts) and their role for mainstreaming and climate-proofing CP and CAP

3.2.4 Overall conclusions and success factors and barriers for the implementation of options

Appraisal of instruments 3.3 to better integrate climate-proofing concerns into funds programming

3.3.1 Introduction

3.3.2 High level assessment of EU policies and instruments

3.3.3 Detailed assessment of short-listed policies and instruments

4 Policy assessment of 2014-2020 Cohesion Policy

4.1 Background and policy context

4.2 Ex-ante appraisal: Analysis of the relevance of EU Cohesion Policy to climate change adaptation and scope for integration of climate change adaptation

4.2.1 Needs for climate proofing future expenditure under Cohesion Policy

4.2.2 Opportunities and constraints for climate change proofing future expenditure

4.2.3 Barriers and success factors for climate proofing future Cohesion Policy

4.3 Solutions and policy recommendations

5 Policy assessment of the 2014-2020 CAP: addressing Climate Adaptation priorities and Needs

5.1 Background and Policy Context

5.1.1 The CAP 2007-13

5.1.2 The CAP post 2014

5.2 Ex Ante Appraisal

5.2.1 Funding provisions for adaptation options

5.2.2 Procedural / implementing requirements and provisions

5.2.3 Summary of gaps and opportunities

5.3 Common barriers and issues affecting the successful integration of climate adaptation needs within the CAP

- 5.3.1 Political barriers
- 5.3.2 Institutional barriers
- 5.3.3 Financial barriers
- 5.3.4 Integrated planning and delivery
- 5.3.5 Information availability
- 5.3.6 Knowledge transfer
- 5.4 Solutions and policy recommendations

6 Capacity needs and guidance

- 6.1 Background and approach
- 6.2 Cohesion Policy: Capacity needs assessment and conclusions
 - 6.2.1 Shortcomings and capacity needs for Cohesion Policy
 - 6.2.2 Conclusions for Cohesion Policy
- 6.3 CAP: Capacity needs assessment and conclusions
 - 6.3.1 Shortcomings and capacity needs for CAP
 - 6.3.2 Conclusions for CAP

7 References

8 Annex 1: Summary of Receptor Reports

- 8.1 Climate impacts on economic sectors and systems
 - 8.1.1 Agriculture
 - 8.1.2 Forestry
 - 8.1.3 Transport
 - 8.1.4 Construction and buildings
 - 8.1.5 Energy Supply
 - 8.1.6 Tourism
 - 8.1.7 Insurance
- 8.2 Climate impacts on environmental systems
 - 8.2.1 Soil and biodiversity
 - 8.2.2 Water infrastructure
- 8.3 Climate impacts on key geographical areas
 - 8.3.1 Coastal zones
 - 8.3.2 River Flooding
- 8.4 Social issues
 - 8.4.1 Health
 - 8.4.2 Employment

9 Annex 2: Assessing the adaptive capacities of Member States

- 9.1.1 Approach to assessing adaptive capacity

10 Annex 3 Adaptive capacity for Cohesion Policy

11 Annex 4 Adaptive capacity indicators for CAP

12 Annex 5: Baseline Approach and results by Member State (Move to supplementary report)

- 12.1 Methodological approach
- 12.2 Baseline assessment for the Mediterranean
 - 12.2.1 Baseline for Spain
 - 12.2.2 Baseline for Portugal
 - 12.2.3 Baseline for Italy
 - 12.2.4 Baseline for Cyprus
 - 12.2.5 Baseline for Malta
 - 12.2.6 Baseline for Greece
- 12.3 Baselines for Central and Eastern Europe
 - 12.3.1 Baseline for Poland

- 12.3.2 Baseline for Hungary
- 12.3.3 Baseline for Czech Republic
- 12.3.4 Baseline for Slovakia
- 12.3.5 Baseline for Slovenia
- 12.3.6 Baseline for Bulgaria
- 12.3.7 Baseline for Romania
- 12.3.8 Baseline for Austria
- 12.3.9 Baseline for Germany
- 12.4 Baselines for North-Western Europe
- 12.4.1 Baseline for Denmark
- 12.4.2 Baseline for the Netherlands
- 12.4.3 Baseline for France
- 12.4.4 Baseline for Belgium
- 12.4.5 Baseline for the UK
- 12.4.6 Baseline for Ireland
- 12.5 Baselines for Northern Europe
- 12.5.1 Baseline for Finland
- 12.5.2 Baseline for Sweden
- 12.5.3 Baseline for Lithuania
- 12.5.4 Baseline for Latvia
- 12.5.5 Baseline for Estonia

13 Annex 6 Detailed explanation of five steps on Decision tree for prioritising adaptation options

14 Annex 7 Overview of Selected Adaptation Options Assessed

- 14.1.1 Early warning systems
- 14.1.2 Cooling of hospitals using passive cooling systems
- 14.1.3 Storm retention reservoirs
- 14.1.4 Sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDS)
- 14.1.5 Awareness raising for companies regarding adaptation to climate change
- 14.1.6 Setting up Anti-hail nets
- 14.1.7 Buffer/vegetation strips
- 14.1.8 On farm harvesting and storage of rainwater
- 14.1.9 Enhance floodplain management
- 14.1.10 Plant winter cover
- 14.1.11 Improvement of animal rearing conditions under increasing temperature
- 14.1.12 Improved forest management: Forest Thinning Practices
- 14.2 Overview of Options with Qualitative Estimation of Costs and Benefits
- 14.2.1 Hydropower stations
- 14.2.2 Adaptation of tourism services and infrastructures

15 Annex 8 Methodology Applied by the ClimateCost Project

16 Annex 9 Summary Assessment of Instruments

17 Annex 10 Overview of Commission proposals on the 2014-2020 EU Cohesion Policy

18 Annex 11: Overview of potential barriers, success factors and enabling conditions

19 Annex 12: Boxes with examples of climate proofing

20 Annex 13 Overview of barriers to integrating climate adaptation within the CAP and solutions identified

[Anpassung](#)

[Landwirtschaft](#)

[Klima](#)

Mittelmeer, Spanien, Portugal, Italien, Zypern, Malta, Griechenland, Mitteleuropa, Osteuropa, Polen, Ungarn, Tschechische Republik, Slowakei, Slowenien, Bulgarien, Rumänien, Österreich, Deutschland, Nord-West-Europa, Dänemark, Niederlande, Frankreich, Belgien, Großbritannien, Irland, Nordeuropa, Finnland, Schweden, Litauen, Lettland, Estland

Source URL: <https://www.ecologic.eu/8665>