

Assessment of Cost Recovery through Water Pricing

Publication

Report

Citation

Gorm Dige, Gloria De Paoli, Pierre Strosser, Gerardo Anzaldua, Andrew Ayres, Marlene Lange, Manuel Lago, Frans Oosterhuis, Mojca Hrabar, Stale Navrud 2013: Assessment of cost recovery through water pricing. ISBN: 978-92-9213-409-9, ISSN: 1725-2237.

Despite the legislation in place and the growing awareness of the need for full cost recovery and incentive pricing in the European water sector, Member States have not yet achieved the objective of integrating these key principles into their water policy. Through a comparative analysis of water pricing schemes and governance structures across selected European countries, a recent study in which Ecologic Institute participated provides practical information on the implementation of the key principles under Article 9 of the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD).

According to the study, pricing can be a powerful communication tool that, by carrying information about the scarcity or abundance of water, can influence the way in which the resource is used. The study notes that water consumption levels decrease by around 33% in households and up to 20% in agriculture when users are charged for the full amount used. Water tariff reforms implemented in the early 1990s to charge the "full price of water" in Denmark and the Czech Republic preceded reductions in water consumption. Nevertheless, the study notes that pricing should not be seen as a stand-alone tool to achieve the multiple requirements of the WFD. The study offers that the use of price controls, in conjunction with other policies like regulation and public expenditure, may be the best strategy.

Whereas the full recovery of the operation and maintenance costs of water services was found to be the rule across the assessed countries (with the irrigation sector being the exception in some), cost recovery levels in some Member States are still below 100% (e.g. Slovenia and Spain). The study suggests that mainly perhaps due to political reasons (e.g., protecting low-income groups and particular economic sectors), some parts of Europe still operate flat rates. These fail to incentivize efficient use and only partially recover the costs of the water services provided. In these cases, some of the unrecovered costs might be imposed on other actors.

The study shows evidence of inconsistencies in the definition of water services and in the accounting of environmental and resource costs (ERC) across the EU. In this sense, the lack of clear definitions and standardized accounting and reporting represent two main barriers that reduce transparency and hinder comparability between water service providers in different Member States.

Some of the recommendations included in the study are:

- In addition to water tariffs and environmental taxes, further economic instruments should be considered to achieve the WFD objectives of full cost recovery and efficient water use
- Separate social measures, like direct income support or technical assistance to reduce water consumption levels, should be seen as a way to address affordability issues
- Developing a standardized international reporting system based on the existing European benchmarking initiatives could improve future reporting consistency

This collaborative study was launched by the European Environment Agency (EEA). Ecologic Institute led the work on the specification of the current tariff structures and price levels of water services for the household, agriculture and industry sectors, the assessment of full cost recovery and incentive pricing, and the proposed options for reporting of ERC to enhance comparability and benchmarking.

Language

English

Authorship

Gerardo Anzaldúa
Andrew Ayres
Marlene Angela Lange
Dr. Manuel Lago
PhD Pierre Strosser
Gorm Dige (EEA)
Gloria De Paoli (ACTeon)
Frans Oosterhuis (IVM)
Mojca Hrabar (Oikos)
Stale Navrud (NMBU)

Funding

European Environment Agency (EEA), International

Published by

<u>Publications Office of the European Union</u> (Publications Office), International

Year

2013

Dimension

128 pp.

ISBN

978-92-9213-409-9

ISSN

1725-2237

DOI

10.2800/93669

Project

Assessment of Full Cost Recovery Pricing of Water

Project ID

2630

Table of contents

Acknowledgements

Abbreviations and acronyms

Executive summary

- 1 Introduction
- 1.1 How to read this report
- 1.2 Setting the scene: theoretical background of cost recovery and its application in water pricing
- 1.3 Cost recovery and the 'polluter pays' principle
- 1.4 Cost recovery and incentives
- 1.5 Cost recovery and affordability of water services
- 1.6 Cost recovery and incentiveness of water pricing: key messages
- 2 The current institutional and regulatory frameworks of water pricing in the EU
- 2.1 Definition of water services
- 2.2 The current legal framework of water utility ownership
- 3 Current pricing framework in selected EU Member States
- 3.1 Water pricing
- 3.2 Abstraction and pollution charges
- 3.3 Supporting measures for water tariffs and abstraction and pollution charges
- 3.4 Price developments
- 4 Governance
- 4.1 Governance structure for water service provision
- 4.2 Governance structure for abstraction and pollution charges
- 5 How does current water pricing 'perform'? Evidence from selected

EU Member States

- 5.1 Has cost recovery been achieved?
- 5.2 Identified barriers to costâ□□recovery water pricing
- 5.3 Do existing water pricing schemes provide an 'incentive' for more efficient water use?
- 5.4 How do water pricing schemes account for social concerns?
- 6 Moving the water pricing agenda ahead
- 6.1 Ways forward for pricing schemes and models reflecting local/regional circumstances
- 6.2 Innovative economic instruments for water management, suitable for the EU context
- 6.3 Proposed options for reporting environmental and resource costs to enhance EU-scale comparability and water pricing benchmarking

7 Conclusions

References

Annex 1 Overview of water pricing instruments in the EU, from the EEA/OECD database

Annex 2 Accompanying mechanisms and measures in the EU

Annex 3 Environmental and resource costs coverage in benchmarking initiatives

Keywords

Water

Water Framework Directive, WFD, Article 9, water economics, water management, water utility, water governance, agriculture, industry, water supply and sanitation, water pricing, water tariff,

economic policy instruments, full cost recovery, polluter-pays, incentive, scarcity, affordability, subsidies,

Croatia, England, Wales, France, Germany, the Netherlands, Scotland, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain economic assessment

Source URL: https://www.ecologic.eu/10048