



# Evaluation of the EU ETS Directive

## Support for the review of the EU Emissions Trading System

### Publication

[Report](#)

### Citation

Fallmann, Hubert et al. 2015: Evaluation of the EU ETS Directive. Support for the review of the EU Emissions Trading System., Vienna.

The European Commission's "better regulation" agenda requires that new legislative proposals be accompanied by an evaluation of already existing relevant legislation. This study therefore evaluates the EU Emissions Trading Directive in light of potential changes to it within Europe's overall Climate and Energy Package 2030. The report uses a systematic approach to evaluate the relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, coherence and EU added value of the EU ETS Directive. The study is available for download.

### Language

English

### Authorship

Elizabeth Zelljadt  
[Jenny Tröltzsch](#)  
[Matthias Duwe](#)  
[Arne Riedel, LLM](#)  
Hubert Fallmann  
Christian Heller  
Katrin Seuss  
Monique Voogt  
Dian Phylipsen  
Sjors van Iersel  
Machtelt Oudenæs

### Funding

European Commission, [Directorate-General for Climate Action](#) (DG Climate), International

### Year

2015

### Dimension

301 pp.

## **Project ID**

[2614-04](#)

## **Table of contents**

### **1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### **1.1 About this report**

#### **1.2 Design of the EU ETS**

#### **1.3 Evaluation findings**

##### **1.3.1 EU ETS in general**

##### **1.3.2 Cap setting**

##### **1.3.3 Auctioning**

##### **1.3.4 Free allocation and carbon leakage**

##### **1.3.5 Support for indirect CO2 costs**

##### **1.3.6 The compliance system (monitoring, reporting, verification, accreditation)**

##### **1.3.7 Registry system**

##### **1.3.8 The NER 300 funding**

##### **1.3.9 Transitional free allocation for the modernisation of the power sector**

##### **1.3.10 ETS and small operators**

##### **1.3.11 Impact of EU ETS on households**

#### **1.4 Conclusions**

### **2 INTRODUCTION**

#### **2.1 The EU ETS and its legislative history**

#### **2.2 Evaluation methodology**

##### **2.2.1 Intervention logic**

##### **2.2.2 Evaluation criteria**

##### **2.2.3 Evaluation areas**

##### **2.2.4 Information sources and limitations**

### **3 EVALUATION FINDINGS**

#### **3.1 EU ETS in general**

##### **3.1.1 Introduction**

### 3.1.2 Intervention logic of the EU ETS Directive as a whole

#### 3.1.3 Relevance

#### 3.1.4 Effectiveness

#### 3.1.5 Efficiency

#### 3.1.6 EU-added value

#### 3.1.7 Coherence

#### 3.1.8 Conclusions – Overall evaluation of the EU ETS

### 3.2 Cap setting

#### 3.2.1 Introduction

#### 3.2.2 Intervention logic

#### 3.2.3 Relevance & coherence

#### 3.2.4 Effectiveness

#### 3.2.5 Efficiency

#### 3.2.6 EU-added value

#### 3.2.7 Conclusions

### 3.3 Auctioning

#### 3.3.1 Introduction

#### 3.3.2 Intervention logic

#### 3.3.3 Relevance

#### 3.3.4 Effectiveness

#### 3.3.5 Efficiency

#### 3.3.6 EU-added value

#### 3.3.7 Coherence

#### 3.3.8 Conclusions

### 3.4 Free allocation and carbon leakage

#### 3.4.1 Introduction

#### 3.4.2 Intervention logic

#### 3.4.3 Relevance

#### 3.4.4 Effectiveness

#### 3.4.5 Efficiency

#### 3.4.6 EU added value

#### 3.4.7 Coherence

#### 3.4.8 Conclusions

### 3.5 Support for indirect CO<sub>2</sub> costs

#### 3.5.1 Introduction

#### 3.5.2 Uptake by Member States

#### 3.5.3 Intervention logic

#### 3.5.4 Identifying potential factors to explain the choices for applying the option of indirect cost compensation

#### 3.5.5 Relevance

#### 3.5.6 Effectiveness

#### 3.5.7 Efficiency

#### 3.5.8 EU-added value

#### 3.5.9 Coherence

#### 3.5.10 Conclusions

### 3.6 The compliance system (monitoring, reporting, verification, accreditation)

#### 3.6.1 Introduction

#### 3.6.2 Findings

#### 3.6.3 Intervention logic

#### 3.6.4 Relevance

#### 3.6.5 Effectiveness

#### 3.6.6 Efficiency

#### 3.6.7 Coherence

#### 3.6.8 EU-added value

#### 3.6.9 Conclusions

### 3.7 Registry system

#### 3.7.1 Introduction

### 3.7.2 Intervention logic

### 3.7.3 Relevance

### 3.7.4 Effectiveness

### 3.7.5 Efficiency

### 3.7.6 Coherence

### 3.7.7 EU-added value

### 3.7.8 Conclusions

## 3.8 The NER 300 funding

### 3.8.1 Introduction

### 3.8.2 Intervention logic

### 3.8.3 Relevance

### 3.8.4 Effectiveness

### 3.8.5 Efficiency

### 3.8.6 EU-added value

### 3.8.7 Coherence

### 3.8.8 Conclusions

## 3.9 Transitional free allocation for the modernisation of the power sector

### 3.9.1 Background

### 3.9.2 Intervention logic

### 3.9.3 Relevance

### 3.9.4 Effectiveness

### 3.9.5 Efficiency

### 3.9.6 EU-added value

### 3.9.7 Coherence

### 3.9.8 Transparency

### 3.9.9 Conclusions

## 3.10 ETS and small operators

### 3.10.1 Introduction

3.10.2 Intervention logic

3.10.3 Relevance

3.10.4 Efficiency

3.10.5 Effectiveness

3.10.6 EU-added value

3.10.7 Coherence

3.10.8 Conclusions

3.11 Impact of EU ETS on households

3.11.1 Introduction

3.11.2 Intervention logic

3.11.3 Relevance

3.11.4 Effectiveness

3.11.5 Efficiency

3.11.6 EU-added value

3.11.7 Coherence

3.11.8 Conclusions

4 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

5 ANNEXES

5.1 Annex I: Carbon pricing around the world

5.2 Annex II: Estimating administrative costs of free allocation

5.3 Annex III: Bibliography

5.3.1 EU Documents and Legislation

5.3.2 Other Literature

5.4 Annex IV: List of Acronyms

## **Keywords**

[Climate](#)

[EU](#)

EU ETS, emissions trading, carbon market  
Europe  
analysis

---

**Source URL:** <https://www.ecologic.eu/12770>