

PUBLICATION

Report
Consumer Policy
EU
Finance
Trade
Food
Ecologic Legal
Transatlantic Program

ENVI Relevant Legislative Areas of the EU-US Trade and Investment Partnership Negotiations (TTIP)

STUDY FOR THE ENVI COMMITTEE



[1]

A recent study provides the members of the European Parliament Committee on Environment, Public Health, and Food Safety (ENVI Committee) with the needed expertise to monitor the ongoing negotiations between the United States and the European Commission for the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) agreement. The study was co-authored by Ecologic Institute, Bio IS, and the Institute for European Environmental Policy (IEEP) and serves as a follow-up to a 2013 study entitled "Legal Implications of TTIP for the Acquis Communautaire in ENVI Relevant Sectors." Both studies are available for download.

The stated objective of the TTIP negotiations, which were launched in July 2013, is to facilitate commercial exchanges of goods and

services between both sides of the Atlantic and to enhance investments on each side. This is to be achieved through the removal of trade barriers, which include tariffs and non-tariff measures such as differences in regulations. There are however substantial regulatory differences between the EU and the US. TTIP negotiations therefore raise concerns, notably among members of civil society, that harmonisation that could result from these negotiations may undermine the levels of protection of public health and safety, and the environment.

Against this background, this study compares and highlights the main differences in key EU and US legislation in eight TTIP-relevant areas: medicinal products for human use and medical devices; cosmetics; food and nutrition; sanitary and phyto-sanitary; nanomaterials; cloning; raw materials and energy; and motor vehicles.

The analysis of the study suggests that the degree of divergence between the regulatory systems of the EU and the US, and thus the development of future requirements and potential collaboration, varies depending on the area concerned.

Differences between regulatory systems and approaches to risk analysis

In some cases, the study concludes that the differences are so significant that they seem unlikely to be bridged, in particular where the EU has a binding system in place whereas the US has a system which is partially binding or voluntary, which is the case for cosmetics and cloning. Concerning the areas of food safety and sanitary and phyto-sanitary measures, the diverging approaches to risk analysis, e.g. a precautionary approach in the EU vs a “sound-science” approach in the US, may also further complicate a convergence of regulations.


Convergence through technical cooperation and mutual recognition of standards

In areas where differences between EU and US regulatory systems are mainly of a technical nature, e.g. technical environmental standards for motor vehicles, greater convergence could potentially be achieved through increased technical cooperation or mutual recognition of environmental regulations, without significant impacts on levels of protection. Finally, in areas where there are currently no binding regulations on either side of the Atlantic (e.g. nanomaterials), convergence may be easier to achieve through scientific and technical cooperation and better coordination of EU and US regulators.

The Ecologic team contributed, in particular, an overview of EU regulation on cloning, as well as on US regulation on food issues,

fracking, fuel quality standards, cloning, and SPS measures.

Attachments

-  Project study: ENVI Relevant Legislative Areas of the EU - US Trade and Investment Partnership Negotiations (TTIP)

Main Link

Project study: ENVI Relevant Legislative Areas of the EU - US Trade and Investment Partnership Negotiations (TTIP)

Ecologic Related Articles

- Legal Implications of TTIP for the Acquis Communautaire in ENVI Relevant Sectors
- Study on the EU-US Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP)
- The New Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP): A Focus on the Environment
- The New Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP): A Focus on the Environment
- The New Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership: Aligning Investment, Patents, and Privacy Protection
- The Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership - Impacts on the Clean Energy Economy
- Discussion on TTIP in the European Parliament
- TTIP, Energy and the Environment
- TTIP: Hope or Hype - or a Risk to the Environment?
- Ecologic Staff Participates in EU Consultation on Investment Protection in TTIP
- Ecologic Institute Contributes to Debates on TTIP
- Investor-state Dispute Settlement in TTIP
- Investor-state Dispute Settlement under TTIP - a Risk for Environmental Regulation?
- Investor-State Dispute Settlement and TTIP - Threat or Opportunity?

Further Links

- doi: 10.2861/73027 (paper)

Citation

Mudgal, Shailendra et al. 2014: ENVI Relevant Legislative Areas of the EU-US Trade and Investment Partnership Negotiations (TTIP). BIO by Deloitte, Ecologic Institute, Institute for European Environmental Policy. ISBN: 978-92-823-6137-5 (paper), 978-92-823-6136-8 (pdf).

Language

English

Author(s)

Dr. Christiane Gerstetter
Max Grünig
Elizabeth Dooley JD, LL.M
Elizabeth Tedsen JD

Author(s)

Shailendra Mudgal (BIO by Deloitte)
Katherine Salès (BIO by Deloitte)
Alice Landowski (BIO by Deloitte)
Otto Kern (BIO by Deloitte)
Juliette Mansard (BIO by Deloitte)
Martin Nesbit (Institute for European Environmental Policy)
Kamila Paquel (Institute for European Environmental Policy)
Sirini Withana (Institute for European Environmental Policy)

Funding

- European Parliament, Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Year

2014

ISBN

978-92-823-6137-5 (paper), 978-92-823-6136-8 (pdf)

DOI

10.2861/73002 (pdf)

Dimension

96 pp.

Project

ENVI Relevant Legislative Areas of the EU-US TTIP Negotiations

Project ID

2615-02

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. INTRODUCTION TO THE TTIP NEGOTIATIONS
2. MEDICINES FOR HUMAN USE AND MEDICAL DEVICES
 - 2.1. Legislation in the EU
 - 2.1.1. Marketing authorisation process
 - 2.1.2. Confidential business information
 - 2.2. Legislation in the US
 - 2.2.1. Marketing authorisation process
 - 2.2.2. Confidential business information
 - 2.3. Main differences between the EU and US legislation
3. COSMETICS
 - 3.1. Legislation in the EU
 - 3.1.1. Conditions for the marketing of cosmetics
 - 3.1.2. Labelling
 - 3.2. Legislation in the US
 - 3.2.1. Conditions for the marketing of cosmetics
 - 3.2.2. Labelling
 - 3.3. Main differences between the EU and US legislation
4. FOOD AND NUTRITION
 - 4.1. Legislation in the EU
 - 4.1.1. Nutrition and health claims

- 4.2. Legislation in the US
 - 4.2.1. Traceability
 - 4.2.2. Nutrition and health claims
- 4.3. Main differences between the EU and US legislation
- 5. SANITARY AND PHYTO-SANITARY MEASURES
 - 5.1. Legislation in the EU
 - 5.1.1. Marketing authorisation procedures
 - 5.1.2. Risk mitigation measures
 - 5.2. Legislation in the US
 - 5.2.1. Marketing authorisation procedures
 - 5.2.2. Risk mitigation measures
 - 5.3. Main differences between the EU and US legislation
- 6. NANOMATERIALS
 - 6.1. Legislation in the EU
 - 6.2. Legislation in the US
 - 6.3. Main differences between the EU and US legislation
- 7. CLONING
 - 7.1. Legislation in the EU
 - 7.2. Legislation in the US
 - 7.3. Main differences between EU and US legislation
- 8. RAW MATERIALS AND ENERGY
 - 8.1. Legislation in the EU
 - 8.1.1. Shale gas extraction permits
 - 8.1.2. Fuel quality legislation
 - 8.2. Legislation in the US
 - 8.2.1. Shale gas extraction permits
 - 8.2.2. Fuel quality legislation
 - 8.3. Main differences between EU and US legislation
- 9. MOTOR VEHICLES
 - 9.1. Legislation in the EU
 - 9.1.1. Emission performance standards
 - 9.1.2. CO2 emission performance requirements
 - 9.2. Legislation in the US
 - 9.2.1. Federal emission standards
 - 9.2.2. California emission standards
 - 9.3. Main differences between the EU and US legislation
- CONCLUSIONS
- 10. REFERENCES

Keywords

Trade, investment, TTIP, Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, environmental regulation, medicinal products, cosmetics, food, labelling, nutrition, sanitary and phyto-sanitary measures, SPS, nanomaterials, cloning, raw materials, energy, motor vehicles, consumer protection, EU, USA

Source URL (modified on 01/07/2020 - 16:50): <https://www.ecologic.eu/11309>

Links

[1] <https://www.ecologic.eu/sites/files/presentation/2014/eu-us-trade-and-ttip-2014.jpg>