The EU recovery and resilience facility marks progress but has some shortcomings in climate policy, argues Nils Meyer-Ohlendorf in his OpEd for the Tagesspiegel. A bigger role for the European Parliament in the distribution of recovery funds is important – for climate protection and democracy in the EU. The facility's spending rules must be clarified.

**Main Link**

Read online: 672 Milliarden Euro – was ist drin für den Klimaschutz? [German]

**Ecologic Related Articles**

- Geld allein macht nicht glücklich
- Europe's Economic Recovery – Climate Neutral, Fair and Innovative
• The Green New Deal
• Financial Crisis as Opportunity: Accelerating Progress toward a Sustainable Economy
• Analyse der Konjunkturprogramme zur Bewältigung der Finanz- und Wirtschaftskrise aus Umweltsicht
• Contribution to the 2011 Flagship Survey of the German Advisory Council on Global Change
• Economic Stimulus in Europe - Accelerating Progress towards Sustainable Development?
• Economic Stimulus in Europe - Accelerating Progress towards Sustainable Development?
• Klimaschutz in der Corona-Krise verlangsamen?
• Fünf Lücken im EU-Klimaschutzgesetz
• Klimapolitik trennt Europa nicht
• A European Climate Law - Draft
• A Climate Law for Europe
• Klimaschutzgesetze in Europa
• Landesklimaschutzgesetze in Deutschland
• Future of the EU: What Role for Climate and Energy Policies?

Citation


Language

German

Author(s)

Dr. Nils Meyer-Ohlendorf

Publisher

• Verlag Der Tagesspiegel, Germany

Year

2020

Published In

Tagesspiegel Background

ISSN

1865-2263

Keywords

environmental measures, energy efficiency, public buildings, transport, forest protection, economic recovery package I, economic recovery package II, new construction of roads, investment in transport, scrappage bonus, nature conservation, investment backlog, CO2 emissions, JustTransition Fund, fossil fuels, aviation,
Source URL (modified on 07/28/2020 - 17:24): https://www.ecologic.eu/17548

Links