

PUBLICATION

Report
International Development
Global Environment
Governance
Ecologic Legal

Rio+20: Financial Resources for Improved International Environmental Governance



The international architecture for financing environmental protection and institutions has become quite confusing, spread out across an increasing number of bilateral and multilateral actors, funds and financing mechanisms. This study, commissioned by the German Federal Environment Agency, investigates the current system and provides recommendations on how to improve it. The study is available for download.

Currently, as there is no established system for the tracking of international, environmentally-focused finance streams, there are no clear and straightforward answers to questions such as: Where do the funds come from? Who decides, and by what rules, how the funds are allocated? Who monitors their use? And how can new financing mechanisms and private funds be integrated into the existing system?

This [study](#) [2] provides an overview of the structure, size, functioning of and flaws of the current system for financing international environmental governance (IEG). It also discusses different reform options and their pros and cons.

Main Link

Study: Rio+20: Financial Resources for Improved International Environmental Governance [pdf, 2.0 MB, English, German]

Ecologic Related Articles

- Rio+20: Financing International Environmental Governance
- The World Intellectual Property Organisation - a Model for UN Environmental Reform?
- Ecologic Institute at the Global Environmental Governance Forum
- Legal Aspects of International Climate Finance
- Reform of Global Sustainable Development Institutions

Citation

Christiane Gerstetter, Benjamin Görlach, Susanah Stoessel, Maria Ivanova, Sandra Cavalieri, Elizabeth Tedsen, Haran Bar-On 2012: Rio+20: Financial Resources for Improved International Environmental Governance. Study on behalf of the Federal Environment Agency. Ecologic Institute, Berlin.

Language

English, German

Author(s)

Dr. Christiane Gerstetter
Benjamin Görlach
Susanah Stoessel
Sandra Cavalieri
Elizabeth Tedsen JD
Haran Bar-On
Dr. Maria Ivanova

Year

2012

Dimension

191 pp.

Project

Rio+20: Financing International Environmental Governance

Project ID

2357

Table of Contents

1 Executive Summary
1.1 The current system - an overview
1.2 Improving tracking of IEG funding

- 1.3 Improving coordination and coherence
- 1.4 Improving the amount, predictability and stability of public sector funding
- 1.5 Improving private sector involvement and use of innovative financing mechanisms
- 1.6 Improving the link between policy and funding
- 1.7 Refocusing the current debate

2 Zusammenfassung

- 2.1 Das gegenwärtige System - ein Überblick
- 2.2 Verbesserte Erfassung der Umweltfinanzierung
- 2.3 Verbesserung von Koordination und Kohärenz
- 2.4 Mehr, vorhersehbarere und stabilere Finanzmittel aus dem öffentlichen Sektor
- 2.5 Verstärkte Beteiligung des Privatsektors und Nutzung neuer Finanzquellen
- 2.6 Eine engere Verbindung von Politik und Finanzen
- 2.7 Neuausrichtung der Diskussion

3 Introduction

4 The existing system of IEG finance

- 4.1 Types of funding and their legal basis
- 4.2 Current system for tracking
 - 4.2.1 DAC statistics and the Creditor Reporting System (CRS)
 - 4.2.2 AidData
 - 4.2.3 Climate Funds Update
 - 4.2.4 UN Financial Tracking Service (UN FTS)
- 4.3 Quantitative overview
 - 4.3.1 A cautionary note on current data availability
 - 4.3.2 Trends in funding for environment
 - 4.3.3 Trends in environmental funding depicted by other research efforts
- 4.4 Contributions by the largest donors
- 4.5 An overview of multilateral environmental trust funds
- 4.6 Share of administrative costs Rio+20: Financial Resources for Improved International Environmental Governance IV
- 4.7 In-depth analysis of some mechanisms for disbursing funds and their performance
 - 4.7.1 UNEP Environment Fund
 - 4.7.2 Global Environment Facility (GEF)
 - 4.7.3 Multilateral Fund (Montreal Protocol)
 - 4.7.4 Adaptation Fund
 - 4.7.5 Climate Investment Funds
 - 4.7.6 Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria
- 4.8 Other mechanisms
 - 4.8.1 UNDP
 - 4.8.2 World Bank
 - 4.8.3 Regional Development Banks

5 Shortcomings of the existing system and reform needs

- 5.1 Lack of consistent and comprehensive data
- 5.2 Fragmentation of the funding landscape
- 5.3 Lack of sufficient, stable, balanced and predictable funding
- 5.4 Disconnect between policy priorities and funding

6 Existing reform proposals

- 6.1 Political reform debate
- 6.2 Academic debate

- 7 Design choices and trade-offs
 - 7.1 Ecosystem vs. sectoral approaches
 - 7.2 Mainstreaming environmental funding vs. separate environmental funding institutions
 - 7.3 Build new institutions or reform existing ones?
 - 7.4 Centralize, coordinate, or... ?

- 8 Scenarios for the system of IEG funding
 - 8.1 Scenarios
 - 8.2 Implications of the different scenarios
 - 8.2.1 Better coordination and greater transparency?
 - 8.2.2 Sufficient and more predictable funding?
 - 8.2.3 More efficient funding procedures?
 - 8.2.4 Improved link between policies and finance?

- 9 Reform options

- Rio+20: Financial Resources for Improved International Environmental Governance V
 - 9.1 A unified system to track environmental funding
 - 9.2 Improving cooperation and coherence among financing mechanisms and funds
 - 9.3 Increasing IEG funding and making it more predictable and stable
 - 9.3.1 Reforming donor contributions to IEG funding
 - 9.3.2 Mobilizing private funding
 - 9.3.3 Conclusions
 - 9.4 Improving the policy/funding link

- 10 Looking towards Rio+20

- 11 Conclusions and recommendations
 - 11.1 De-mystifying and better linking debates
 - 11.2 Improving tracking of IEG funding
 - 11.3 Improving coordination and coherence
 - 11.4 Increasing public funding and making it more predictable and stable
 - 11.5 Improving private sector involvement and use of innovative financing mechanisms
 - 11.6 Improving the link between policy and funding

- 12 Annex: Methodological note on data used in Section 4.3.2

- 13 References

Keywords

finance, climate change, international environmental governance, IEG, Rio+20, sustainable development, multilateral environmental agreements, UNEP,

Source URL (modified on 08/23/2018 - 09:00): <https://www.ecologic.eu/6913>

Links

[1]
https://www.ecologic.eu/sites/files/presentation/2014/cover_rio20_financial_resources_for_improved_international

_environmental_governance.jpg

[2]

https://ecologic.eu/files/projects/08/13/2012%20-%2016:50/Gerstetter_12_IEG_Finance_Study_RIO_plus_20.pdf