



# Closing the financing gap for wetland restoration

Manuel Lago, Ecologic Institute

RESTORE4Cs EU policy workshop, 19th February 2025



#### Context

- Insufficient financing is a significant barrier for nature-based solutions uptake
- Why? Coastal wetlands (like other NbS) pose challenges for financing
  - Generate "public" goods, which are undervalued by markets (e.g. biodiversity conservation)
  - Scattered benefits: Wetlands generate multiple economic benefits for many beneficiaries
  - Benefits are difficult to measure
  - Relatively small project size
- Call for significant increase in private investment to meet NbS financing gap but some concerns.
- Limited available research on NbS financing, and coastal wetlands





#### **Research questions**

- 1. How much does restoration of coastal wetlands cost? What are the budgetary requirements associated with ecological restoration?
- 2. How are wetland restoration projects currently paid for? How are coastal wetlands currently funded/financed as nature-based solutions?
- 3. What is the future role of **private finance**? How could innovative financing instruments pay for NbS in the future?

#### Methodology

Literature: Building from PONDERFUL project results

Evidence from RESTORE4Cs case studies

Altered versus restored sites





#### The RESTOREACS Sustainable Finance Inventory - Categories and instrument structure



#### **NbS Sustainable Finance Inventory**

#### Objectives:

- Identify options for how different types of NbS can be financed
- Allow NbS developers to answer, "how can I pay for my NbS project?"
- Expand PONDERFUL inventory

#### Contains:

- 22 Financing instruments
- 26 Real-world examples
- Interactive online version and a tool

			-			
Main category	Category definitions	Instruments	Examples			
1. Income instruments	Instruments for raising revenue that can then be used to finance NbS. Some can be used by landowners (1.1, 1.4, and 1.5); others can only be levied by government-sanctioned associations (1.2 and 1.3) or governments (1.6).	1.1 User fees	Altnabrocky River			
		<ol> <li>1.2 Business improvement districts</li> </ol>	Vauxhall Missing Link			
		1.3 Betterment levies	Wimbledon and Putney Commons			
		<ol> <li>1.4 Development rights and leases</li> </ol>	SANPark concessions for tourism			
		1.5 Sale of market goods	Carp Ponds in Bavaria, Germany			
		1.6 Other revenue raising measures	UK Network Rail Port Townsend water utility fee			
2.Contracting approach (cost reduction/ restructure)	Legal agreements that reduce or restructure the costs of financing NbS, either by providing assets or use of assets at below market rates (2.1) or by shifting financing of upfront costs in return for ongoing payments (2.2).	2.1 Community asset transfer	Chapman's Pond Community Company			
		2.2 Public private partnership	Valley State Parks Camping Concession			
3. Voluntary contributions/ donations	Voluntary payments made of own free-will, whether a direct beneficiary of the NbS (3.2) or simply to contribute (3.1, 3.3)	3.1 Philanthropic contributions	The Living Danube Partnership			
		3.2 Voluntary beneficiary contributions	Wild Haweswater - contribution			
		3.3 Crowdfunding	Treflach Wetland UK – crowdfunding			
4.Tradable rights/permits	Financing is raised by selling the 'rights' to ecosystem services generated by the NbS. This	4.1 Payment for ecosystem services	Vittel (Nestlé Waters) PES			
and payment for ecosystem services	payment can be relatively informal (4.1) or through structured markets for climate mitigation (4.2), for offsetting damage to biodiversity elsewhere (4.3), or for reducing water pollutants (4.4).	4.2 Transfer-based instruments: voluntary carbon markets	MoorEutures			
		4.3 Transfer-based instruments: Biodiversity offsets and habitat banking	Eco-Accounts biodiversity offset Great Crested Newts 'District Licensing'			
		4.4 Transfer-based instruments: Water quality trading systems	Pennsylvania nutrient credit trading			
5. Subsidies	Subsidies are a financial contribution from the government to a person, company or organisation to promote socially beneficial outcomes. They can be ongoing payments (or tax breaks) linked to outcome or production (5.1, 5.2)	5.1 Environmental subsidies	Ecofarm Petra Marada – CAP subsidies			
		5.2 Tax concessions	Western Australia Conservation Covenant			
6. Grants	Direct contribution from government (local, national, or EU) to a recipient in return for undertaking a specific activity. Grants are generally one-off payments (though they may be paid in instalments), and often competitive (6.1).	6.1 Grants	Hunte-Leda- Moorniederung			
7. Debt instruments	Transfer of capital in return for a promise to repay that capital over time, generally with interest. This can involve direct lending from a lender to a borrower (7.1) or be mediated through debt markets (7.2).	7.1 Loans and green loans	Linnunsuo – Rewilding Europe Capital Ioan CWS Revolving Fund – Winona Wetlands			
		7.2 Bonds and green bonds	DC Water Environmental Impact Bond The Conservation Fund's Green Bond			
8. Equity finance	Financing raised by selling an ownership share of the NbS, potentially with a claim to some of its profits. This can be motivated by a desire to have impact (8.1) or be purely commercial (8.2)	8.1 Impact investing	Sumatra Merang Peatland Restoration Project			
		8.2 Commercial investing	Mill Creek Mitigation Bank			
y and do not necessarily renect those of the European officit of						

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily renect those of the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.




#### **NbS Sustainable Finance Inventory**

#### Objectives:

- Identify options for how different types of NbS can be financed
- Allow NbS developers to answer, "how can I pay for my NbS project?"
- Expand PONDERFUL inventory

#### **Contains:**

- 22 Financing instruments
- 26 Real-world examples
- Interactive online version and a tool





### **NbS Sustainable Finance Inventory**

#### Objectives:

- Identify options for how different types of NbS can be financed
- Allow NbS developers to answer, "how can I pay for my NbS project?"
- Expand PONDERFUL inventory

#### Contains:

- 22 Financing instruments
- 26 Real-world examples
- Interactive online version and a tool



#### SUSTAINABLE FINANCE INVENTORY

Find the complete Ponderful Sustainable Finance Inventory at the <u>Ecologic Institute website</u>

Instrument: 1.1 User fees

Example name: 1.1.1 In-stream enhancement of Altnabrocky River, Owenmore Catchment, Ireland

Example description: To combat declines in the population of wild salmon throughout Ireland, the Fisheries Ireland state agency promotes projects that aim to enhance salmon habitats, such as the restoration of 160m of the Altnabrocky River. Ireland Fisheries manages the Salmon and Sea Trout Rehabilitation, Conservation and Forotection Fund (SSTRCPF), which it uses to pay for such projects, and which receives approximately 50% of its

NBS DESCRIPTI	ON		
LOCATION	Owenmare Co.		
NBS TYPE	Owenmore Catchment, Ireland		
ECOSYSTEM TYPE	Creation Restoration		
NBS BENEFITS	wanagement		
NBS DESCRIPTION			
	Use of local rock structures to combat erosion of riverbanks; redistribution of gravel  160 m of source.		
SCALE (SIZE)	to rebuild spawning beds; lowering of riverbanks to reduce the impact of flooding.  160 m of river		
NBS PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	Wild salmon population size.		
NBS PERFORMANCE	The program is consider		
FINANCING DESCR	indicators have been reported.		
SOURCE OF FINANCING			
RECIPIENT	Private and commercial fishers and fishery organisations, who pay 20€-50€ per day  The recipient		
	The recipient was the GlenAlt Syndicate, an association of Irish fishers, who received funding from the Salmon and Sea Trout Rehabilitation, Conservation and Protection about 50% of its funds through fees for recreational and commercial fishing activities, and funds eligible biodiversity projects with grants through open calls.		
SCALE (FINANCING)	and funds eligible biodiversity projects with grants through fees for recreational and commercial fishing activities,  £18,571		
TIMELINE	One-off		
FINANCING REQUIREMENTS	Examples of project types that are eligible for funding from the SSTRCPF:     Fish passage improvement     Spawning enhancement		
	Riparian zone improvement (e.g. selective tree pruning) Removal/control of aquatic invasive species In-stream structures (weirs, deflectors, rubble mats, etc.). Riverbank protection (coffs, deflectors, rubble mats, etc.).		
INANCING ERFORMANCE	<ul> <li>Feasibility studies, reporting, monitoring, etc.</li> <li>erformance was not reported for this specific project, or generally for the total analyse awarded grants of €S million to &gt;250 projects throughout Ireland.</li> <li>ansaction costs are not reported. Generally, transaction costs include the ministration of licenses, grants, project monitoring, enforcement of user fees, etc.</li> </ul>		
RANSACTION COSTS			
	medises, grants, project monitoring and costs include the		

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and European Union. Views and Environment Executive Agency (CINEA). Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be need.




#### **NbS Sustainable Finance Inventory**


#### Objectives:

- Identify options for how different types of NbS can be financed
- Allow NbS developers to answer, "how can I pay for my NbS project?"
- Expand PONDERFUL inventory

#### **Contains:**

- 22 Financing instruments
- 26 Real-world examples
- Interactive online version and a tool







#### Financing work in RESTORE4Cs

- Further develop the NbS sustainable finance inventory with new/innovative instruments and examples:
  - Expand impact investing including the following: Business Angels, Accelerators
    / incubators, Venture Capital, Private equity.
  - Include a category "financing risk management" => blended finance, guarantees, insurance.

~~~~



#### Financing work in RESTORE4Cs

- Include more evidence through R4Cs case study work -> Template for gathering information
  - 1 General Information about case study site
  - 2 Wetland restoration actions
  - 3 Societal Challenges (rationale/objectives behind restoration)
  - 4 Stakeholder/expert opinion on the benefits of restoration Costs (only FINANCIAL COST incurred at the site for those relevant restoration actions and
  - 5 associated measures)
  - 6 Funding Sources
  - 7 Financing Gap Assessment
  - 8 Additional Instruments for Funding, Revenues, and Financing







## 1. How much do pondscapes cost?

- Range widely depending on context and project
- Predominantly one-off costs (average approx. 10:1 vs ongoing costs, with wide range)


|                 |                            | Total upfront | <b>Total ongoing</b> |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
|                 |                            | (one-off)     | (annual)             |
| Pondscape       | CH, Rhone de Verbois       | 5,705,779 €   | 830,246 €            |
|                 | CH, Bois du Jussy          | 779,143 €     | 27,300 €             |
|                 | BE, Gete Vallei            | 66,200 €      | 7,100 €              |
|                 | BE, Pinkhakedon            | 66,200 €      | 30,100 €             |
|                 | BE, Tommelen               | 3,100 €       | 600 €                |
|                 | UY, rural ponds            | 13,750 €      | 2,200 €              |
|                 | ES, La Albera              | 184,384 €     | 21,672 €             |
|                 | ES, La Pletera             | 109,815 €     | 33,466 €             |
|                 | DK, Fyn                    | 144,899 €     | 3,812 €              |
|                 | UK, Water Friendly Farming | 2,900,000 €   | 115,200 €            |
|                 | DE, Schöneiche             | 1,351,775 €   | 61,559 €             |
| <b>Averages</b> | Mean                       | 1,029,550 €   | 103,023 €            |
|                 | Median                     | 144,899 €     | 27,300 €             |







- 1. How much do pondscapes cost?
- Range widely depending on context and project
- Predominantly one-off costs (average approx. 10:1 vs ongoing costs, with wide range)
- One-off costs predominantly construction and development








### 1. How much do pondscapes cost?

- Range widely depending on context and project
- Predominantly one-off costs (average approx. 10:1 vs ongoing costs, with wide range)
- One-off costs predominantly construction and development
- Ongoing costs predominantly maintenance, operational costs, and depreciation







# 2. How are pondscapes currently paid for? And 3. What is the future role of private finance?

- Historically: Reliance on **grants**, with some charitable contributions
- Future: Grants and charity remain most popular, some potential for private financing in the form income instruments
- Overall: Limited potential for private finance, especially debt finance/equity




Figure: Financing pondscape NbS: past and potential future financing instruments





#### **Conclusions and discussion**



#### **Challenges**

- Measuring costs and income: heteregeneity on accounting practices
- Long-term financial sustainablity: Issue with ongoing costs recovery

#### **Role for private finance?**

- NbS (pondscapes/wetlands) are primarily publicly funded
- Why? Generate primarily public goods, few tradeable goods and services -> generating limited revenue opportunities
- Potential opportunities for private finance: Creation of environmental markets +/or integrated landscape projects

#### **Overall conclusion**

- Private financing not a magical solution ⊗
- Decisive role for public policy and public funding



# Thank you! Manuel.lago@ecologic.eu