

Nitrification inhibitors: biological and synthetic¹

1 Measure definition

Nitrification inhibitors (NIs) are compounds that delay bacterial oxidation of NH_4^+ to NO_3^- (Nitrification) by depressing the enzymatic activities of nitrifiers (e.g. Nitrosomonas) in the soil (Subbarao et al. 2006). NIs were developed to prevent nitrate leaching by stopping bacteria in the soil from converting nitrogen from fertilisers or animal urine into nitrate. Inhibition of nitrification can improve the sustainable use of nitrogen by reducing nitrate leaching to groundwater (Qiao et al. 2015). Lower nitrate concentrations in soils also contribute to reduced nitrous oxide emissions.

Geographical and biophysical applicability

- **Suitability to different biophysical conditions:** They can be used in different cropping systems across various climatic regions (Subbarao et al. 2006). Because a wide geographical range of plant species possess nitrification inhibitory effect (Wang et al. 2021), BNIs can be locally applied in different geographical regions. SNIs are less effective in soils with heavy texture, high soil organic matter as this might cause sorption of the inhibiting compounds and affect its mobility (Subbarao et al. 2006). For example, in a plane loamy soil in Wisconsin, US, nitrap yearin completely inhibited nitrification in soils with 1% SOM and at higher pH whereas this was not effective in soils with 5% SOM (Hendrickson and Keeney 1979). Also, in an arable soil in Germany, SNIs like DCD was found to perform better at reducing nitrate formation in sandy than in loam and clay soils (Barth et al. 2019). This is not surprising since their original application was to prevent nitrate leaching from sandy soils.
- **Suitability in EU/German conditions:** SNIs are widely used on conventional farms with livestock and/or biogas production, where ammonia-rich slurries prone to gases and dissolved nitrogen losses are regularly applied. They are also widely used by arable farms with light soils and urea-based fertilisation regimes. The further expansion of SNIs is limited because of the European and German goal to increase the share of organic agriculture to 30% and SNIs are per definition not compliant with the EU organic regulation.

Nitrification inhibitors can be either biological (BNI) or synthetic (SNI)² (Coskun et al. 2017).

Subbarao et al. (2006) listed 64 synthetic compounds which have been proposed as SNI. Most of these SNIs inhibit the first enzymatic step of nitrification (inhibition of the ammonia oxidase enzyme AMO) (Ruser and Schulz 2015). Commercially and widely utilized SNIs are nitrap yearin, dicyandiamide (DCD) and 3,4-dimethylp yearazole phosphate (DMPP) (Ruser and Schulz 2015; Subbarao et al. 2006). Nitrap yearin and dicyandiamide (DCD) belong to a large extent to the inhibition group of Cu chelators and the same mechanism of inhibition is also assumed for DMPP (Ruser and Schulz, 2015.), whereby a strict classification of SNIs in only one group of inhibitors is not possible. However, some SNIs also carry risks for soil health and biodiversity as they can

¹ This factsheet was developed as part of the research project "Naturbasierte Lösungen (NbS) im Klimaschutz: Marktanzreize zur Förderung klimaschonender Bodennutzung" (FKZ 3721 42 502 0) and is also published as part of the Annex to the UBA report "Role of soils in climate change mitigation", see www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/Role-of-soils-in-climate-change-mitigation.

² There are also urea inhibitors (UI). SNI and UI are often grouped together as "inhibitors", however they are chemically different and have different modes of action. This factsheet focuses on SNI and BNI.

be ecotoxic for terrestrial and aquatic organisms: in a study of two commercial NIs (Piadin and Vizura) and an active ingredient of another NI (dicyandiamide (DCD)), Piadin and Vizura showed ecotoxic effects in all experiments conducted (Kössler et al. 2019). Concerns have also been raised about risk to human health since the active ingredient, dicyandiamide (DCD), was found as a residue in milk (Ray et al. 2020). This underlines the importance of applying the precautionary principle and a comprehensive risk assessment.

Biological nitrification inhibitors (BNIs) are an alternative to SNIs. Some plant species have the natural ability to release compounds (from either their root, rhizosphere, tissue, litter or tissue extract) that suppresses the activity of nitrifiers (Subbarao et al. 2013a; Wang et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021). Examples of BNIs extracted from root tissues are linoleic acid and linolenic acid (Ma et al. 2021). Common temperate crops with BNI function are the pasture grass and some landraces of wheat (O'Sullivan et al. 2016). E.g., BNI levels in the rhizosphere of wheat landraces ranged from 25 - 45% reduction in nitrification (O'Sullivan et al. 2016). Some BNIs secreting crops such as sorghum and Brachiaria grasses can be used as cover crops (Subbarao et al. 2013b).

The research on BNI is still in its infancy and more crop species or varieties may exude compounds with BNI function that can be integrated in crop rotations. The extraction and technical production of BNI might then become feasible (Wang et al. 2021). Research and breeding for BNI expression of the relevant plant gens in the rhizosphere of important crops may also provide new management options for improving nitrogen efficiency in cropping systems (Zhang et al. 2021).

Information on prevalence of use of SNIs and BNIs is not available.

1.1 Fit with NbS definition

Various plant species release molecules with different chemical properties as root exudates that regulate soil nitrification by blocking the enzymatic pathways of nitrifying bacteria, e.g. Nitrosomonas (Subbaroa et al. 2013). This suggests the alignment of BNIs with nature.

Exudates released from plant roots, i.e. BNIs, can be seen as an adaptive mechanism for the efficient conservation and use of nitrogen in natural ecosystems including agricultural systems where nitrogen is limiting (Subbarao et al. 2006; Qiao et al. 2015; Ma et al. 2021).

However, SNIs are synthetic chemicals applied as external inputs and potentially with negative side-effects, therefore their alignment with natural ecosystems is not given.

2 Mitigation Potential

2.1 Carbon sequestration

There is currently no available research on the effects of NIs on soil carbon sequestration rates and SOC stocks even within the EU.

2.2 Total climate impact

Total GHG balance:

Multiple studies have reported reduction in N₂O emissions rates by 18 to up to 92% using different BNIs (Wang et al. 2021, Ruser and Schulz 2015). 67 - 76% reduction in N₂O emissions with the use of SNI has been reported for arable soils in LA, US (Meng et al. 2021).

In a European grassland site in Wales, UK with very high nitrification rates, Ma et al. (2021) reported up to 93.5% reduction in NO₃- concentration with 1g linoleic acid per kg of soil which is a BNI found in *Brachiaria* spp (Subbarao et al. 2008). Studies on BNI on GHG emissions within European regions are currently still limiting.

In a global meta-analysis using 62 studies, SNIs were found to decrease direct N₂O emissions by 39 - 48% and NO₃- leaching by 38 - 56%, leading to a net reduction of 16.5% of total nitrogen loss to the environment (Qiao et al. 2015).

However, some nitrification inhibitors carry the risk of higher ammonia (NH₃) emissions in some pedo-climatic conditions (Wang et al.2020; Qiao et al. 2015).

2.3 Limitations on the mitigation potential

The efficacy, synthesis and release of BNIs from plants as well as SNIs are highly variable and vary depending on the type of NIs released, the presence of NH₄⁺, the abundance of the soil nitrifier population in the rhizosphere and the soil chemical and physical properties such as soil texture, organic matter content, pH, moisture and temperature, oxygen concentration (Coskun et al. 2017; Gopalakrishnan et al. 2009; Subbarao et al. 2013a; Subbarao et al. 2006).

For effectiveness, NI compounds must retain their persistence and bioactivity in the soil, thus the effectiveness of NIs in soils often dependent on the length of time it can be persistent in soils (Subbarao et al. 2006). Loss of NIs by volatilisation, leaching and microbial turnover decreases its effectiveness in soils (Hendrickson and Keeney 1979; Ruser and Schulz 2015).

Common SNIs like Nitrap yearin can be specific and effective on some bacterial groups (e.g., *Nitrosomonas*) over others (e.g., *Nitrobacter*) (Subbarao et al. 2006). Thus, the composition of the nitrifier community should be considered before adoption.

Despite laboratory-based evidence for inhibitory activity on some microbial nitrifiers, not all BNIs released from plant roots will be effective in suppressing soil nitrification activity in the field (Lu et al. 2019). Some BNIs have been found to lose their activity in soils after 80 days (Subbarao et al. 2008). Due to these uncertainty, further field testing is required (Wang et al. 2021). Such information reported for soils within European region is also missing in current literature.

3 Adaptation and co-benefits

- ▶ **Yields and efficiency:** There is some evidence that the use of SNIs in combination with split fertilizer application or No-till cultivation can lead to an increase of crop yields up to 7% (Del Grosso 2009), while increasing the nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) indicated by higher N uptake (Abolos et al. 2014).
- ▶ **Soil quality:** Soil acidification is one of the most common consequences of soil degradation caused by N overuse (Qiao et al. 2015). Reducing N overuse could therefore contribute to alleviating soil acidification. The long-term impact of SNIs on the soil microbiome is uncertain. Agrochemicals such as NIs may bear the risk of developing tolerant populations or negative effects on non-target organisms. Hence further research is needed ideally by focussing on soils with long-term history of NI application (Ruser and Schulz 2015).
- ▶ **Air pollution:** SNIs application significantly decrease NO emissions up to 38% (Qiao 2015).

- ▶ **Water quality:** The use of SNIs and BNIs reduce the nitrification process and the risk of leaching of NO₃⁻ and therefore have the potential to improve the quality of waterbodies close to agriculture areas. The use of N fertilizer can lead to nitrification and leaching of NO₃⁻. NO₃⁻ moves through the soil and potentially ending up in water bodies leading to eutrophication and health risk for aquatic organisms (Subbaro et al. 2006).
- ▶ **Human health:** The use of SNIs and BNIs reduce the nitrification process, thus leading to reduced nitrate leaching and reducing the risk of high nitrate concentrations in groundwater and therefore also the risk of nitrate consumption. Nitrate consumption can lead to human health risk through drinking contaminated water or consumption of high nitrate containing vegetables ultimately leading to various kinds of human cancer, neural tube defects, diabetes and blue baby syndrome (Ahmed et al. 2017).
- ▶ **Economic benefits:** There is evidence, that the economic benefit of reducing N's environmental impacts offsets the cost of SNI application with a potential increase of revenues for the farmers of up to 9%, using a US maize farm as a case study (Qiao et al. 2015).
- ▶ **Energy saving:** The use of SNIs can ultimately result into saving energy inputs into agricultural systems due to decreased amount of N fertilizer use. The process of producing synthetic N fertilizer requires a considerable amount of energy plus the energy spent for transport, application and incorporation (Subbaro et al. 2006).

4 Trade offs

- ▶ **Soil:** SNI reduce activity and abundance of target nitrifying bacteria (Nitrosomonas genera), but also shift abundance of non-target bacteria. The negative effects of fertilization on soil functionality are partially alleviated but the complexity of bacterial interaction networks can be reduced (Corrochano-Monsalve et al. 2021).
- ▶ **Disease resistance in crops:** SNIs can influence disease development and host resistance e.g., corn, soybean and potato (Subbaro et al. 2006).
- ▶ **Water bodies:** There is research that indicate ecotoxic effects of SNIs on terrestrial and aquatic organism (Kösler et al. 2019).
- ▶ **Air pollution:** There is a risk of higher ammonia (NH₃) emissions with the use of some SNIs in some pedo-climatic conditions (Wang et al. 2020; Qiao et al. 2015)

5 Implementation challenges

There are several implementation challenges acting as a barrier for the uptake of SNIs and BNIs as practices by farmers, including uncertain effects of SNI and BNI usage under field condition since these effects also depend strongly on weather conditions after application, application knowledge by farmers, additional cost and regulation/restrictions. SNIs are not allowed in organic agriculture. Beyond this, once the produce is approved, no further regulation of their use is set. Often, SNIs are already included in synthetic urea fertilisers.

Given the unclear long-term impacts of synthetic NIs on soil biodiversity, precautionary principles should be applied. Until further clarity is available on long-term effects, the use of SNIs should be restricted.

The use of BNIs is still in its infancy, with limited knowledge on their NI specificity, pathways, locations, mechanisms of release and interactions with other BNIs and with other biotic and abiotic components of the soil matrix and the environment (Coskun et al. 2017).

6 References

- Abalos, D., Jeffery, S., Sanz-Cobena, A., Guardia, G., & Vallejo, A. (2014): Meta-analysis of the effect of urease and nitrification inhibitors on crop productivity and nitrogen use efficiency. In: *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 189, p. 136–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.03.036>.
- Ahmed, M., Rauf, M., Mukhtar, Z. et al. (2017): Excessive use of nitrogenous fertilizers: an unawareness causing serious threats to environment and human health. In: *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 24, p. 26983–26987 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0589-7>.
- Barth, G., von Tucher, S., Schmidhalter, U., Otto, R., Motavalli, P., Ferraz-Almeida, R., Meinel Schmiedt Sattolo, T., Cantarella, H., Vitti, G.C. (2019): Performance of nitrification inhibitors with different nitrogen fertilizers and soil textures. In: *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 182, p. 694–700. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201800594>.
- Corrochano-Monsalve, M., González-Murua, C., Estavillo, J.-M., Estonba, A., & Zarraonaindia, I. (2021): Impact of dimethylp yearazole-based nitrification inhibitors on soil-borne bacteria. *Science of The Total Environment*, 792, 148374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148374>.
- Coskun, D., Britto, D.T., Shi, W., Kronzucker, H.J. (2017): Nitrogen transformations in modern agriculture and the role of biological nitrification inhibition. In: *Nature Plants* 3, p. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.74>.
- Del Grosso, S. J., Ojima, D. S., Parton, W. J., Stehfest, E., Heistemann, M., DeAngelo, B., & Rose, S. (2009): Global scale DAYCENT model analysis of greenhouse gas emissions and mitigation strategies for cropped soils. In: *Global and Planetary Change*, 67(1–2), p. 44–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2008.12.006>.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Watanabe, T., Pearse, S.J., Ito, O., Hossain, Z.A.K.M., Subbarao, G. V. (2009): Biological nitrification inhibition by *Brachiaria humidicola* roots varies with soil type and inhibits nitrifying bacteria, but not other major soil microorganisms. In: *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 55, 725–733. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0765.2009.00398.x>.
- Hendrickson, L. L., and Keeney, D. R. (1979): A bioassay to determine the effect of organic matter and pH on the effectiveness of nitrap yearin (N-Serve) as a nitrification inhibitor. In: *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 11: p. 51–55.
- Kösler, J. E., Calvo, O. C., Franzaring, J., & Fangmeier, A. (2019): Evaluating the ecotoxicity of nitrification inhibitors using terrestrial and aquatic test organisms. In: *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 31(1), 91. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-019-0272-3>.
- Lu, Y., Zhang, X., Jiang, J., Kronzucker, H.J., Shen, W., Shi, W., (2019): Effects of the biological nitrification inhibitor 1,9-decanediol on nitrification and ammonia oxidizers in three agricultural soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 129, p. 48–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.11.008>.
- Ma, Y., Jones, D.L., Wang, J., Cardenas, L.M., Chadwick, D.R., (2021): Relative efficacy and stability of biological and synthetic nitrification inhibitors in a highly nitrifying soil: Evidence of apparent nitrification inhibition by linoleic acid and linolenic acid. In: *European Journal of Soil Science* 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.13096>.

- Meng, Y., Wang, J.J., Wei, Z., Dodla, S.K., Fultz, L.M., Gaston, L.A., Xiao, R., Park, J. hwan, Scaglia, G., (2021): Nitrification inhibitors reduce nitrogen losses and improve soil health in a subtropical pastureland. In: *Geoderma* 388, 114947. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.114947>.
- O'Sullivan, C.A., Fillery, I.R.P., Roper, M.M., Richards, R.A. (2016): Identification of several wheat landraces with biological nitrification inhibition capacity. In: *Plant and Soil* 404, p. 61–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2822-4>.
- Otaka, J., Subbarao, G.V., Ono, H., Yoshihashi, T. (2021): Biological nitrification inhibition in maize— isolation and identification of hydrophobic inhibitors from root exudates. In: *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01577-x>.
- Qiao, C., Liu, L., Hu, S., Compton, J. E., Greaver, T. L., & Li, Q. (2015): How inhibiting nitrification affects nitrogen cycle and reduces environmental impacts of anthropogenic nitrogen input. In: *Global Change Biology*, 21(3), p. 1249–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12802>.
- Ray, A., Nkwonta, C., Forrestal, P., Danaher, M., Richards, K., O'Callaghan, T., Hogan, S., & Cummins, E. (2021): Current knowledge on urease and nitrification inhibitors technology and their safety. *Reviews on Environmental Health*, 36(4), p.477–491. <https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2020-0088>.
- Reise, J., Siemons, A., Böttcher, Herold, A. Urrutia, C., Schneider, L., Iwaszuk, E., McDonald, H., Freluh-Larsen, A., Duin, L. Davis, M. (2022): Nature-Based Solutions and Global Climate Protection. Assessment of their global mitigation potential and recommendations for international climate policy. *Climate Change* 01/2022. German Environment Agency, Dessau-Roßlau.
- Ruser, R., & Schulz, R. (2015): The effect of nitrification inhibitors on the nitrous oxide (N₂O) release from agricultural soils—A review. In: *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 178(2), p. 171–188. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201400251>.
- Slangen, J.H.G., Kerkhoff, P. (1984): Nitrification inhibitors in agriculture and horticulture: A literature review. In: *Fertilizer Research* 5, p. 1–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01049492>.
- Subbarao, G., Ito, O., Sahrawat, K., Berry, W., Nakahara, K., Ishikawa, T., Watanabe, T., Suenaga, K., Rondon, M., Rao, I. (2006): Scope and strategies for regulation of nitrification in agricultural systems - Challenges and opportunities. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 25, p. 303–335. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680600794232>.
- Subbarao, G. V., Nakahara, K., Ishikawa, T., Yoshihashi, T., Ito, O., Ono, H., Ohnishi-Kameyama, M., Yoshida, M., Kawano, N., Berry, W.L. (2008): Free fatty acids from the pasture grass *Brachiaria humidicola* and one of their methyl esters as inhibitors of nitrification. *Plant and Soil* 313, p. 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9682-5>.
- Subbarao, G. V., Rao, I.M., Nakahara, K., Sahrawat, K.L., Ando, Y., Kawashima, T. (2013a): Potential for biological nitrification inhibition to reduce nitrification and N₂O emissions in pasture crop-livestock systems. In: *Animal : An International Journal of Animal Bioscience* 7 Suppl 2, p. 322–332. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731113000761>.
- Subbarao, G. V., Nakahara, K., Ishikawa, T., Ono, H., Yoshida, M., Yoshihashi, T., Zhu, Y., Zakir, H.A.K.M., Deshpande, S.P., Hash, C.T., Sahrawat, K.L., (2013b): Biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) activity in sorghum and its characterization. In: *Plant and Soil* 366, p. 243–259. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1419-9>.
- Verstraete, W. (1981): Nirification in agricultural systems: Call FOR CONTROL. In: *Ecological Bulletins*, 33, p. 565–572. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/45128690>.
- Wang, H., Köbke, S., & Dittert, K. (2020): Use of urease and nitrification inhibitors to reduce gaseous nitrogen emissions from fertilizers containing ammonium nitrate and urea. In: *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 22, e00933. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e00933>.

Wang, X., Bai, J., Xie, T., Wang, W., Zhang, G., Yin, S., Wang, D. (2021): Effects of biological nitrification inhibitors on nitrogen use efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural soils: A review. In: *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 220, 112338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112338>.

Zhang, M., Zeng, H., Afzal, M. R., Gao, X., Li, Y., Subbarao, G. V., Zhu, Y. (2021): BNI-release mechanisms in plant root systems: Current status of understanding. In: *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01568-y>.

Imprint

Publisher

Umweltbundesamt
Wörlitzer Platz 1
06844 Dessau-Roßlau
Tel: +49 340-2103-0
Fax: +49 340-2103-2285
buergerservice@uba.de
Internet: www.umweltbundesamt.de
[f/umweltbundesamt.de](https://www.facebook.com/umweltbundesamt.de)
[t/umweltbundesamt](https://twitter.com/umweltbundesamt)

Authors

Dr. Ana Frelih-Larsen, Antonia Riedel, Rachael Oluwatoyin
Akinyede, Ecologic Institute
Prof. Dr. Andreas Gattinger, Dr. Wiebke Niether,
Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen

Completion: June 2022